

Measuring-Network of Wind Energy Institutes

20ac01

Anemometer Calibration Proficiency Test

Participant Report Ammonit Windtunnel GmbH Issue Date 11.11.2024 Created by Søren Frølund Østbirk, Svend Ole Hansen ApS Participants identities managed by Measnet Secretariat

20ac01 Participant Final Report



Contents

1.	Introduction & Methodology	3
1	.1. Standards in Scope	. 3
1	.2. Methodology	. 3
1	.3. Preliminary Line Choice	. 3
2.	Topics covered by the PT	4
2	.1. General Comments	.4
3.	Pass / Fail Criteria	4
4.	Participant List	6
5.	Proficiency Test Calendar	7
6.	Results provided by the participants	8
7.	Participant's result	9
8.	References1	0



1. Introduction & Methodology

Within the framework of the MEASNET network internal quality evaluation program, the collaboration with the IECRE organization and the consideration of proficiency testing as a service offered to its customers, an anemometer calibration proficiency test exercise was organized and performed.

This internal report is issued according to the contents described in the IECRE O.D. 551-17 **¡Error! No se encuentra el origen de la referencia.**

1.1. Standards in Scope.

The participants performed the tasks according to the standard IEC 61400-12-1:2017 **¡Error! No** se encuentra el origen de la referencia. and IEC 61400-50-1:2022 [2].

1.2. Methodology.

The proficiency test was performed in one round.

Unlike other Proficiency Tests, this PT is structured in one round because the analysis of the results is not expected to provide insight of the sources of deviation by itself. The search of such sources is commended to the appropriate forums (SG551 & Measnet) which should host meetings in light of the results.

All the participants belonging to IECRE or Measnet are considered as type A participants although the participants shall take the appropriate measures to avoid the bias from laboratories and / or wind tunnels that are not totally independent.

1.3. Preliminary Line Choice.

The line choice is a set of instructions handled to the participants explaining which options, among those valid and present in the standard, have to be taken in order to improve the intercomparability of the results.

Data sheets for the two anemometers were forwarded to the participants. The institutions were to use their own connectors / cables for the calibrations.

The participants were instructed to handle the anemometers with care, not modify them in any way, and not to place any kind of stickers on them.

Regarding mounting, the institutions should use their own mounting tubes for the calibration. The tube should have a circular cross section. For the Thies anemometer, the nominal outer diameter of the mounting tube should be in the range 33.4 mm to 35.0 mm. For the WindSensor anemometer, the nominal outer diameter of the mounting tube should be 25.0 mm.



2. Topics covered by the PT

2.1. General Comments

Two anemometers were be circulated among all the participants, according to a calendar agreed among all the participants (although the calendar was revised several times during the PT due to delays of various types).

The anemometers to be calibrated and which results will be accounted for in the pass and fail criteria were:

- Thies First Class Advanced model 4.3352.10.000, S/N 06225543
- WindSensor model P2546D-OPR, S/N 67138

Those laboratories that wanted to evaluate more than one wind tunnel or those laboratories that had close connections among them (i.e. shared personnel, procedures, equipment or investors) were treated according to the following rules:

- The involved laboratories designated a representative set of results (called "primary results"). However, the laboratories had to present results for all the facilities to be evaluated.
- Only the designated results were taken into account to calculate the PT reference wind speed.
- All the results were evaluated against the PT reference wind speed.

3. Pass / Fail Criteria

The RETLs belonging to IECRE must fulfil the following pass and fail criteria in order to be part of the IECRE system. These pass and fail criteria are not mandatory for other participants.

Step 1: Assessment of anemometers integrity. After the end of the measurement campaign by all participants, the physical condition of the anemometers used will be assessed, on the responsibility of the laboratory providing the initial and final calibration (The PT conductor). In case any sign of damage, degradation, or irregular operation that could possibly have effect on the PT results, is identified on any of the anemometers used in the PT, then this anemometer must be discarded from the PT and the result obtained must not be used in the compilation of the PT results.

Step 2: For each anemometer three output values are determined, corresponding to wind speeds of about 7, 10 and 13 m/s.

Step 3: For each anemometer and for each of the three values the wind speeds according to the



calibration results of the RR participants are calculated. Each wind speed is assumed to have a standard uncertainty of 1%.

Step 4: As a first estimate of the PT reference wind speed the results per anemometer and per output frequency of all institutes are averaged. The standard uncertainty of this reference wind speed is $(1/\sqrt{N\%})$ in which N is the number of institutes that calibrated the regarded anemometer. **Step 5:** Per anemometer and per output value the result of the calibration of participant institute is discarded when the deviation with respect to the estimated reference wind speed is one standard uncertainty of the difference or more. The standard uncertainty of the difference is

equal to $\sqrt{1^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\right)^2}$ % where N is the number of non-discarded results per anemometer and output value). This step is carried out several times until no more data are discarded. The measurements are discarded in the order of their deviation, the biggest deviation first.

Step 6: For each anemometer and for each output value the PT reference wind speed is defined as the average value of the non-discarded values.

Step 7: For each anemometer and for each of the three output values the difference between the wind speeds obtained with the various calibration results of the participating institutes and the PT reference wind speed is determined.

Step 8: At each approximate wind speed (7, 10 and 13 m/s) the differences obtained from each institute for all anemometers result in a series of values with an averaged value and a standard deviation. Both values should be close to zero. The sum of the absolute value of the average and the standard deviation (|AV| + stdevp) is used as a quantity that characterizes the compliance of the calibration institute with the PT reference wind speeds. (stdevp = standard deviation of the population).

Step 9: The values (|AV|+stdevp) are averaged for the approximate wind speeds (7, 10 and 13 m/s).

PASS / FAIL Criterion: The institutes with an average value of the Compliance Factor $\leq 1\%$ comply with the IECRE requirement for anemometer calibration. As a side note, the compliant laboratories are also considered in compliance with the requirements of MEASNET regarding the Proficiency tests for Anemometer Calibration.



4. Participant List

The type A participants are:

Testing Laboratory

Ammonit Windtunnel GmbH

CRES - Center for Renewable Energy Sources and Saving

Deutsche WindGuard Wind Tunnel Services GmbH

Ecotech Pty Ltd

International Wind Engineering G.P.

LAC, IDR/UPM Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingeniería Aeronáutica y del Espacio

ProfEC Ventus GmbH

SOH Wind Engineering LCC

Svend Ole Hansen ApS (associated with SOH Wind Engineering LCC)

Type B participants are:

Testing Laboratory				
Centre de Recherches et de Technologies de l'Energie				
China Electric Power Research Institute CEPRI				
Council for Scientific and Industrial Research CSIR				
ESTIA Consulting & Engineering S.A.				
Instituto SENAI de Inovação em Energias Renováveis - ISI-ER				



5. Proficiency Test Calendar

The following calendar describes the process of the Proficiency Test:

Preparation:

Application period deadline for Type A participants	01.10.2022
Election of coordinator & participation appeals	10.10.2022
Fees payment deadline for Type A participants	01.05.2023

Round 1:

Conductor calibration of anemometers	13.02.2023 to 08.05.2023		
	Begins 08.05.2023		
Participants calibration of anemometers timeframe	Three weeks from reception, later changed to 2 weeks from rection due to additional participants and delays		
Estimated timeframe for delivery between participants	One week		
	Originally designated period: 29.07.2024 to 12.08.2024.		
Conductor calibration of anemometers and integrity check	Due to additional participants and delays, the conductor performed integrity checks several times throughout.		
	26.08.2024 to 28.08.2024		
All Participants submit results	(One Type B participant submitted results October 25, 2024)		
Data analysis from coordinator	18.09.2024 to 18.10.2024		
Results communication & room for non- technical corrections	18.10.2024 to 28.10.2024		
Round 1 final report creation	28.10.2024 to 30.11.2024		
Final results publication deadline	30.11.2024		



6. Results provided by the participants

The designated ("primary") results of the Type A participants are shown in Table 1. The table shows the anonymous participant ID, their Compliance Factor and whether they passed of failed the compliance criterion. The reported calibration slope and offset for the two anemometers (Thies and WindSensor) are also included for reference.

Corresponding results for Type B participants as well as non-designated ("secondary") results from Type A participants are shown in *) Remarks will only be seen by the relevant participants.

Table 2. The participant ID is replaced by a letter to ensure that participants with more than one result are not identifiable.

Participant	Compliance	Passed /	Thies, S/N 6225543		WindSensor, S/N 67138	
ID	Factor	failed	Slope	Offset	Slope	Offset
			[m/s/Hz]	[m/s]	[m/s/Hz]	[m/s]
0788	0.61 %	Passed	0.04587	0.21919	0.61564	0.21599
2490	0.29 %	Passed	0.04596	0.24415	0.61701	0.23774
4314 *)	0.64 %	Passed	0.04560	0.22876	0.61949	0.22239
4562	0.35 %	Passed	0.04580	0.24000	0.61740	0.28000
6692	0.33 %	Passed	0.04577	0.22330	0.61867	0.24380
7471	0.74 %	Passed	0.04638	0.20191	0.62044	0.25362
9648 *)	0.37 %	Passed	0.04596	0.24200	0.61271	0.28700
9896	0.10 %	Passed	0.04584	0.23423	0.61850	0.22442

Table 1. PT results for Type A participants. Submitted calibration results (slope and offset) for the two participating anemometers are included.

*) Remarks will only be seen by the relevant participants.

Table 2. PT results for Type B participants as well as secondary results of type A participants. The anonymous 4-digit participant ID is replaced with letters to ensure that the number of submitted results from a single institution is not revealed.

Participant	Compliance	Passed /	Thies, S/N 6225543		WindSensor, S/N 67138	
ID	factor	failed	Slope	Offset	Slope	Offset
			[m/s/Hz]	[m/s]	[m/s/Hz]	[m/s]
Α	0.29 %	Passed	0.04606	0.22191	0.61981	0.19927
B *)	NA	NA	0.04586	0.21212	NA	NA
С	0.31 %	Passed	0.04606	0.22594	0.61828	0.22132
D	0.55 %	Passed	0.04587	0.21206	0.61589	0.21805
E	0.33 %	Passed	0.04601	0.23804	0.61916	0.21455
F	0.65 %	Passed	0.04589	0.21679	0.61518	0.21884
G	0.91 %	Passed	0.04543	0.23717	0.61862	0.17123
Н	0.16 %	Passed	0.04573	0.24777	0.61869	0.22979
I	1.03 %	Failed	0.04568	0.36868	0.61100	0.37828
J	0.18 %	Passed	0.04601	0.20708	0.62117	0.17915
K *)	4.76 %	Failed	0.04430	0.18400	0.59595	0.11780

*) Remarks will only be seen by the relevant participants.



7. Participant's result

The participant Ammonit Windtunnel GmbH is identified in the previous tables with the code 9896.

According to the IECRE approved Pass and Fail criteria, the laboratory has **passed** the Proficiency Test.



8. References

- [1] IEC 61400-12-1:2017, Power performance measurements of electricity producing wind turbines.
- [2] IEC 61400-50-1:2022, Wind measurement Application of meteorological mast, nacelle and spinner mounted instruments
- [3] IECRE OD-551-17, Edition 1.0, IECRE, 2020-08-17